

THE YUKON ELECTRICAL COMPANY LIMITED

An ATCO Company

July 21, 2008

Yukon Utilities Board Box 31728 Whitehorse, YK Y1A 6L3

Attention:

Ms. Wendy Shanks

Board Chair

Dear Ms. Shanks:

RE: Yukon Electrical Company Limited

2008-2009 General Rate Application

Yukon Electrical Company Limited ("YECL") has reviewed the responses of Interveners with respect to YECL's proposed schedule.

YECL would like to address two main points with respect to these responses:

- Deadline for information responses from YECL; and
- Provision of IR responses as they are completed.

Deadline for Information Responses

While appreciating the accommodation suggested in certain of the responses, YECL reaffirms its position outlined in its July 17, 2008 letter to the YUB. Due to the significant volume of IRs and the availability of resources, YECL simply cannot complete the IRs prior to August 19, 2008. This date was deliberately selected by YECL, as it is the earliest date on which IR responses can reasonably be provided. The City of Whitehorse was concerned that this schedule results in shortening the time YECL allowed for Interveners to respond to information responses. To address this concern YECL would like to propose the following revisions to the schedule:

YECL Proposed Date Per July 17, 2008		Updated Proposal Activity Date	
Activity Information Responses from YECL	Date August 19	Activity Information Responses from YECL	August 19
Intervenor Evidence	September 2	Intervenor Evidence	September 2
IRs to Intervenors	September 15	IRs to Intervenors	September 12
Information Responses from Intervenors	September 24	Information Responses from Intervenors	September 24
YECL Rebuttal Evidence (if necessary)	October 2	YECL Rebuttal Evidence (if necessary)	October 2
Hearing	October 7-9 inclusive (tentative)	Hearing	October 7-9 inclusive (tentative)

YECL considers this schedule reasonable, particularly given the number of IRs it received versus the much smaller number likely to be directed to Interveners. This update provides Interveners 12 days from the receipt of the IRs from YECL. YECL submits that, depending on the IRs being asked by the YUB and YECL, this should be sufficient time. Notwithstanding, Interveners can assess whether this is sufficient time or whether an extension is required once they have had the opportunity to review any such IRs.

In the interests of maintaining the current hearing dates YECL suggests that the receipt of YECL's rebuttal evidence, if any, four days prior to the hearing should provide sufficient time for review by Interveners. Generally any such evidence is not extensive and only addresses matters that are familiar to parties.

Provision of IR Responses as They are Completed

While this suggestion has certain appeal from an Intervener perspective, YECL would submit it is simply not practical given the volume of IRs and the interrelationship between certain IRs. YECL can not provide responses in a piecemeal fashion, as all responses are finalized at the same time. As a result, YECL would not be in a position to provide IR responses as they are completed and instead will provide the responses in accordance with the approved schedule.

If you have any questions on the above, please contact me at (780) 420-5420.

Yours truly,

Original signed by

David Freedman
Director, Regulatory